

Solicitation Number N0042126R1009				
	Document (PWS, RFP, Attachment)	Reference Paragraph	Question	Government Response
	Number			
1	SF 33, Page 1	Box 5 and Box 9	Considering the release date of June 4, 2026, and the proposal submission date of July 6, 2026, during which there are two Federal Holidays, would the Government kindly consider extending the submission deadline due to the holidays and the complexity of the response?	No extension will be granted at this time.
2	Section A, Paragraph 7 and Attachment 6-SOW	Section A, Paragraph 7 (Top Secret facility and personnel clearance requirements) Attachment 6, Statement of Work, Paragraph 3.1.2.1 (20% on-site / 80% contractor-site work performance)	The solicitation requires a Top Secret facility clearance and identifies certain personnel as requiring Top Secret or Secret clearances. However, the Statement of Work does not clearly identify which specific tasks, labor categories, or work products require access to Top Secret information. To support accurate staffing, facility, and pricing assumptions, please identify the SOW paragraphs, task areas, or anticipated task order activities that are expected to require personnel holding Top Secret clearances and/or access to Top Secret information.	The specific tasks requiring TS access will be defined at the individual Task Order level. Offerors should staff their Key Personnel in accordance with Section L to ensure they have the capability to respond to such task orders. The requirement in SOW paragraph 3.1.2.1 applies to the Prime Contractor's primary off-site performance facility. This does not preclude the use of subcontractors located outside of this 20-mile radius, provided the overall team can effectively meet all SOW requirements.

3	SOW		<p>In the SOW is a requirement for the contractor to be within 20 miles of PAX and a statement that all provisions flow down to all subcontractors. Does that mean that the main offices of all subcontractors must be within 20 miles of PAX?</p> <p>Does that preclude a subcontractor located outside this 20-mile radius from being part of a team? Can a subcontractor send engineers to perform work on-site at NAS Patuxent River as an option?</p>	<p>The requirement in SOW paragraph 3.1.2.1 for the contractor's site to be within 20 driving miles of NAS Patuxent River applies to the Prime Contractor's primary off-site performance facility where the majority of the SOW tasks are executed. It does not mean that all subcontractor facilities must be located within this 20-mile radius, provided the overall team can effectively meet all SOW requirements.</p>
4	PIEE Announcement		<p>Please confirm that the NAICS code will be updated to 541715 in PIEE.</p>	<p>Yes. The NAICS code in the PIEE system will be administratively updated to 541715 to match the SAM.gov notice and the official solicitation documents.</p>
5	PIEE Announcement		<p>Exhibit A is missing as an attachment in PIEE. Will this be uploaded to PIEE?</p>	<p>Exhibit A will be uploaded to PIEE. See Amendment 0001.</p>
6	Attachment 5	6.0/Section L	<p>"For notice of any changes and additional information provided by the Government for this solicitation, please go to https://beta.sam.gov/." Will changes only be posted in SAM or will updates also be posted in PIEE and SAM?</p>	<p>All official changes, including amendments and responses to questions, will be posted to both PIEE and SAM.gov. In the event of any discrepancy between the systems, the documents and information posted in PIEE are the official record and take precedence. Section L has been updated via Amendment 0001 to reflect this.</p>

7	Attachment P1		It appears that none of the Government Instructions were completed in the attachment. Will this be done and an update posted to both P1EE and SAM?	P1 template is provided by the Government for the Offeror to populate, develop, and submit as part of their Volume 3 (Price/Cost) proposal. It is the sole responsibility of the Offeror to complete these spreadsheets with their proposed direct labor rates, indirect rates, escalation, and fee in accordance with the Section L instructions. The Government has provided all necessary baseline parameters (such as the estimated labor hours in Section L, Table 4 and the labor rate ranges in Attachment P5). No further Government-filled data is required for these templates to be functional.
8	General		Where and when will all questions be posted?	All questions and their official Government answers are being provided in this amendment, posted to both P1EE and SAM.gov on or about June 19, 2026

9	General		<p>If any other discrepancies are found between PEE and SAM after the question period closes, which will take precedence?</p>	<p>In accordance with standard Federal Acquisition Regulations, the official, signed PDF solicitation documents and subsequent amendments (SF 33 and SF 30) take precedence over any plain-text description, synopsis, or metadata fields on either website. To the extent there is any system-level discrepancy between the portals, PEE is the official System of Record for this procurement. Offerors must rely on the files and instructions posted in the PEE Solicitation Module.</p>
10	Attachment P2		<p>The instructions say that "The number of FTE's must coordinate with the submitted P-6 for evaluation." There is no P-6 in the RFP, should we use the table under SOW para 3.3.3 or are submitted Attachment P-1?</p>	<p>This is a typo in the instructions. The reference to "P-6" should be to Attachment P1. Offerors shall ensure the number of personnel proposed aligns with the labor hours in the Attachment P1 Cost Summary Spreadsheet. The instruction sheet will be administratively corrected in Amendment 0001.</p>
11	Attachment P2		<p>The instructions for J-N say, "(SEE TAB Functional Labor Hrly Tripwire for reference)." There are no other tabs in Attachment P2. Will the government provide this information?</p>	<p>This is a typo in the instructions. The fully burdened labor tripwire is defined in Section M, paragraph M-3.3.2. There is no separate tab. Offerors should reference Section M for this information. The instruction sheet will be administratively corrected in Amendment 0001.</p>

12	Attachment 5_Section LM, Page 4	Section L, Part A, Subsection 3, Paragraph 3.7	Paragraph 3.7 of Section L Proposal Instructions states questions must be submitted no later than 4:00 PM Eastern Time on the 10th calendar day after the date that this solicitation is issued. The SF 33 was issued 04JUN2026, putting the 10th calendar day at June 14, 2026. However, the Solicitation posted on SAM.gov states questions are due 2:00 PM Eastern Time on (June 18, 2026). Will the Government please clarify which date is correct?	Government Response: The deadline for all questions is 2:00 PM Eastern Time on June 18, 2026. Section L, paragraph 3.7 has been updated via Amendment 0001 to reflect this correct date.
13	SF 33, Page 1	Box 5 and Box 9	From the release date of June 4, 2026, to the proposal submission date of July 6, 2026, there are two Federal Holidays. Given the holidays and the complexity of the response, will the Government respectfully consider extending the proposal submission date?	The Government has reviewed the proposal preparation time and determined that the current period, which exceeds the 30 days required by FAR 5.203(c), is sufficient. No extension will be granted at this time.
14	Attachment 5_Section LM, Page 16	Section L, Part B, Subsection B4.4, Paragraph B.4.4.3 Incumbents	To assist in preparing a compliant and informed proposal response, could the Government please identify the incumbent contract number(s) associated with this Solicitation?	The incumbent contract number for this effort is N00421-21-D0003
15	Attachment P2-Fully_Burdened_Labor_Rates	Instructions Tab	The instructions for the Individual Designator state "The number of FTE's must coordinate with the submitted P-6 for evaluation purposes." There was not a P-6 provided. Did you mean P-1, or will you be providing a P-6, please clarify?	This is a typo in the instructions. The reference to "P-6" should be to Attachment P1, Cost Summary Spreadsheet. The instruction sheet for Attachment P2 will be administratively corrected via this amendment.

16	Attachment P3- Past Performance Information Form		<p>Attachment P3 (Past Performance Information Form (PPIF)) currently does not include a selection option for Time-and-Materials (T&M) under the Contract Type field. Several relevant past performance references may have been performed under T&M contract types.</p> <p>Does the Government intend to revise Attachment P3 to include a T&M contract type selection, or should Offerors identify T&M efforts under another existing contract type category and provide clarification within the form?</p>	<p>Yes. A revised Attachment P3 is hereby provided as part of this amendment to include an option for "Time & Materials/Labor Hour."</p>
17	Attachment P4- Contractor Performance Assessment Questionnaire		<p>Attachment P4 (Contract Performance Assessment Questionnaire (CPAQ)) does not appear to contain a signature block or signature line for the Assessing Official completing the evaluation.</p> <p>Does the Government intend to revise Attachment P4 to include an Assessing Official signature field, or should completed questionnaires be considered acceptable without a signature provided all other required information is included?</p>	<p>Yes. A revised Attachment P4 is hereby provided as part of this amendment to include a signature block for the Assessing Official.</p>

18	Attachment 6_SOW Page 16	Section 3.3.3.1 Aerospace Engineer Senior Experience/Education Paragraph	The Master's degree substitution criteria outlined in section 3.3.2 is not applicable to the three Senior Aerospace Engineer Key positions. In order to increase competition, would the government consider allowing the Bachelor's degree plus 4 years additional work experience substitution in lieu of a Master's degree as it allowed for the remaining Aerospace Engineer positions.	No. Due to the critical nature of the work and the required level of expertise for these Key Personnel positions, the Government will not change the minimum education and experience requirements as stated in the SOW.
19	Attachment 5_SectionsLM Page 5	Section L, Part B, Subsection B.2.2, Paragraph B.2.2.1 Statement of Work Approach	The paragraph excerpts identified in Section L B.2.2.1 do not exactly match the excerpts in Attachment 6 SOW. Should we assume the SOW supersedes the paragraph excerpts cited in Section L B.2.2.1?	Yes. In the event of any discrepancy, the full text of the Statement of Work (SOW) document takes precedence. Offerors shall respond to the requirements as stated in the official SOW attachment.
20	Attachment 5_SectionsLM Page 7	Section L, Part B, Subsection B.2.2, Paragraph B.2.2.2 Sample Tasks.	For Sample Task 3, is the discrepant bolt a common hardware part or a platform specific part with a unique part number/application?	For the purposes of the sample task, offerors should base their responses on the information as currently provided in the sample task.

21	<p>Attachment 5_SectionsLM Page 4</p>	<p>Section L, Part A, Section 4, Subsection 4.4</p>	<p>Section L, Subsection 4.4 encourages Offerors to submit the Past Performance Volume information fourteen (14) days prior to the proposal due date. Since the solicitation establishes a single proposal due date in Block 9 of the SF 33, please confirm that Offerors submitting all proposal volumes, including the Past Performance Volume, by the official due date and time will receive equal consideration and evaluation, regardless of whether the Past Performance Volume was submitted fourteen (14) days in advance. Further, please clarify whether the Government intends to begin evaluating past performance information prior to the proposal due date.</p>	<p>The reference in Section L, paragraph 4.4 encouraging early submission of the Past Performance Volume has been removed via Amendment 0001. All proposal volumes, including the Past Performance Volume, are due on the date and time specified in Block 9 of the SF 33. All offerors submitting compliant proposals by the official due date will receive equal consideration. The Government will not begin evaluating past performance information prior to the official proposal due date.</p>
----	---	---	---	--

22	<p>Attachment 5_Section LM Page 4</p>	<p>Section L, Part A, Section 4, Subsection 4.4</p>	<p>Section L, Subsection 4.4, encourages Offerors to submit the Past Performance Volume fourteen (14) days prior to the proposal due date, while also instructing Offerors to request that Assessing Officials return completed CPAQs within two weeks of receipt. Please clarify the Government's expectations regarding the timing of CPAQ submissions. Specifically, if an Offeror elects to submit its Past Performance Volume on the official proposal due date identified in Block 9 of the SF 33, will CPAQs received after the encouraged fourteen (14) day early-submission date, but prior to or after the proposal due date, be accepted and considered by the Government? Additionally, please confirm that Offerors will not be adversely evaluated if Assessing Officials require the full two-week response period contemplated by the solicitation and, as a result, do not submit CPAQs prior to the encouraged early-submission date.</p>	<p>As stated in the previous response, the '14-day early submission' language has been deleted from Section L via Amendment 0001. The Government's expectation is that all CPAQs should be submitted by the Assessing Officials directly to the Government POCs no later than the official proposal due date specified in Block 9 of the SF 33. Offerors will not be adversely evaluated for utilizing the full proposal preparation period.</p>
----	---	---	---	--

23	Attachment 5_SectionsLM Page 14	Section L, Part B, Section B.4, Subsection B.4.4, Paragraph B.4.4.2	<p>For pricing purposes, please clarify whether Offerors are to propose each labor category in Table 4 using Government Site rates, Contractor Site rates, or a blended approach. While the SOW states that approximately 20% of the effort will be performed at NAS Patuxent River and 80% at the Contractor's site, the Government Estimated Labor Hours table does not identify the applicable work location for each labor category. Does the Government intend to revise the pricing template to identify the appropriate site designation for each labor category to ensure a common basis for pricing?</p>	<p>Offerors shall NOT propose a blended rate. To ensure a fair and defensible cost realism analysis in accordance with FAR 15.404-1(d), offerors are required to price Government-site and Contractor-site hours separately. Accordingly, Amendment 0001 hereby provides a revised Section L, Table 4, which explicitly breaks down the estimated labor hours for each category into the 20% Government-site and 80% Contractor-site split for all five years. Offerors shall use this revised table, provided in the updated 'Attachment 05 - Sections L&M (Revised),' as the common basis for preparing their cost proposals. The Cost Summary Spreadsheet (Attachment P1) should be populated to reflect this site-specific pricing</p>
----	---------------------------------------	---	---	--